(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification[7]:   G06F 17/50

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/20814

(22) International Filing Date: 29 June 2001 (29.06.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/215,697   29 June 2000 (29.06.2000)   US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): OBJECT RESERVOIR, INC. [US/US]; 3536 Bee Cave Road, Suite 300, Austin, TX 78746 (US).

(72) Inventors; and
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): LIM, Kok-Thye [MY/US]; 1 Mahogany Lane, San Ramone, CA 94583 (US). KENNON, Stephen, R. [US/US]; 3005 Gilbert Street, Austin, TX 78703 (US).

(74) Agent: PASTRANA, Armando, Jr.; Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP, 1221 S. MoPac Expressway, Suite 400, Austin, TX 78746-6875 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(54) Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION TO MODEL RADIAL FLOW NEAR A SINGULARITY

(57) Abstract: A method and system for predicting the behavior of a physical system are disclosed. One embodiment of the method of this invention comprises the steps of creating an equation in a first coordinate system to model an aspect of the physical system; applying a coordinate transformation to the equation to transform the equation from the first coordinate system into a second coordinate system more closely representative of an analytical solution to the equation; solving the equation in the second coordinate system to obtain a solution; transforming the solution back to the first coordinate system; creating a second equation in the first coordinate system to model a second aspect of the physical system; solving the second equation in the first coordinate system to obtain a solution to the second equation; and combining the mapped solution to the first equation and the solution to the second equation in the first coordinate system to obtain a combined solution. One embodiment of the system of this invention comprises a computer-readable medium containing a plurality of instructions embodying the above method.

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION TO MODEL
RADIAL FLOW NEAR A SINGULARITY

RELATED INFORMATION

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) to
provisional patent application No. 60/215,697, filed June
29, 2000, entitled "Method and System for Oil Reservoir

5   Simulation and Modeling," which is hereby fully incorporated
by reference.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION
This invention relates generally to methods and systems for

10   modeling physical systems using Finite Element analysis and,
more specifically, to methods and systems for modeling
physical systems near a singularity. Even more particularly,
this invention relates to a method and system for coordinate
transformation to model radial flow near a singularity.

15

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION
Physical systems can be modeled mathematically to simulate
their behavior under different conditions. A wide variety
of means exist to model physical systems, ranging from the

20   very simplistic to the extremely complicated. One of the
more complicated means to model physical systems is through
the use of finite element analysis. As the name implies,
finite element analysis involves the representation of
individual, finite elements of a physical system in a

25   mathematical model and the solution of this model in the
presence of a predetermined set of boundary conditions.

In finite element modeling, the region that is to be
analyzed is broken up into sub-regions called elements.

This process of dividing the region into sub-regions may be
referred to as discretization or mesh generation. The region
is represented by functions defined over each element. This
generates a number of local functions that are much simpler

5   than those which would be required to represent the entire
region. The next step is to analyze the response for each
element. This is accomplished by building a matrix that
defines the interaction properties of the various elements
within the region and a vector that defines the forces

10 acting on each element in the structure. Once all the
element matrices and vectors have been created, they are
combined into a structure matrix equation. This equation
relates nodal responses for the entire structure to nodal
forces. After applying boundary conditions, the structure

15 matrix equation can be solved to obtain unknown nodal
responses. Intra-element responses can be interpolated from
nodal values using the functions which were defined over
each element.

As indicated above, finite element modeling involves the

20 creation of a mesh of finite elements. The elements are
defined by nodes within the problem space. The nodes are
simply points in space. The lines between the nodes are
referred to as "edges." The mesh is typically a structured
mesh. In other words, the mesh is defined in three

25 dimensions so that the elements within the problem space are
hexahedrons. For example, they may be cubes or rectangular
prisms. (Equivalently, in two dimensional problems, the
elements would be rectangles – see FIGURE 1 for an
illustration of these elements.) The edges of the

30 hexahedrons are coincident with the edges between the nodes
of the mesh. In a simple model, the nodes of the mesh may

be regularly spaced to define cubic elements. It is not
necessary, however, for the nodes to be evenly spaced in all
finite element models. A variety of different sizes and
shapes of hexahedral elements can be defined within a single
5   mesh.

However, mathematical models to simulate the behavior of
physical systems can be both time consuming and complex to
create. In particular, finite element analysis problems in
multiple dimensions (for example, the four dimensions of
10   space and time) are almost intractable to solve using non-
computer-aided computational techniques. These types of
problems are so complex that they must be performed with
computer assistance. In particular, the difficulty of
solving the mathematical equations used to model a physical
15   system increases almost exponentially, in terms of the
actual computations that must be performed, as the number of
dimensions that the problem encompasses increases.

One method of solving the equations involved in mathematical
modeling of physical systems, such as oil field reservoirs,
20   involves the use of simulators that can create a model of
the physical system based on user inputs of both equations,
and variables within the equations, that describe the
system. Typically, analysts skilled in both high-level
mathematics (to generate the complex equations needed), and
25   in high-level programming (to generate the necessary code
from the mathematical formulations) are required.
Alternatively, teams of specialized mathematicians and
programmers can be used to analyze a problem, formulate the
mathematical equations, and write the corresponding code by
30   hand. One such method and system for creating simulators to

model physical systems is disclosed in related U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/419,717, entitled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR GENERATING SOFTWARE CODE USING A SYMBOLIC LANGUAGE TRANSLATOR," filed on October 14, 1999. This application is
5   hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

However, even the models obtained with these state-of-the-art simulators do not always adequately represent the physical system being modeled such that accurate solutions can be obtained at certain critical points. For example,
10 prior art methods and systems for solving the equations used to model a physical system typically use linear approximations and other averaging techniques (that make various assumptions that may not be true at certain points in the system). Also, these prior art methods and systems
15 typically require a large number of nodes (solution points) to accurately model a system. Solving the equations that represent the system at each of these nodes requires a great deal of time and computational power.

Further, certain physical characteristics of a system being
20 modeled do not lend themselves well to modeling in a Cartesian coordinate system. In particular, the pressure profile in the vicinity of a well tapping into an oil field reservoir is highly non-linear in Cartesian coordinates. Therefore, using linear elements in the finite element
25 analysis may introduce significant interpolation errors. This is especially true when a coarse mesh (as known to those in the art), and therefore large linear elements, is used in Cartesian space. If the modeling is performed using only the X,Y,Z coordinate (Cartesian coordinate) system,
30 then if the temporal (time) dimensional extrusion used in

the system modeling is irregular (i.e., the system model is
not uniform), then the solution to the problem may
oscillate.

Modeling of a physical system is a predictive exercise. For
5   example, when generating a model of the pressure
distribution inside of an oil field reservoir, the intent is
to generate a tool that can be used to determine the
pressure distribution, under a certain set of relevant
conditions, to be able to predict the effect on the system
10   when those conditions are changed. The model must therefore
be accurate within the range of conditions of interest.

The pressure distribution in an oil field reservoir has been
found to be non-linear in a Cartesian coordinate system (in
fact, it is a logarithm), especially in the vicinity of a
15   well. The pressure is thus typically greater far out from
the well and then drops logarithmically as the distance from
the well bore decreases. Modeling such a system accurately,
using a Cartesian coordinate system, thus requires a large
number of nodes. A linear approximation is insufficient,
20   because on the logarithmic pressure curve the values will
change too greatly between nodes. Using a Cartesian
coordinate system can thus result in oscillations and
inaccuracies in the solution. Without an appropriate
approximation, predictive behavior is uncertain and the
25   model fails.

Standard finite element analysis techniques applied to the
Cartesian coordinate space are thus inadequate for modeling
radial flow near a singularity such as the near-well region
of an oil field reservoir. Boundary conditions representing
30   fluid flows into, or out of, a well are inaccurate near such

a singularity unless a very fine spatial mesh requiring a large number of nodes is generated. Such a mesh requires nodes spaced at very small increments to be able to accurately predict results. The time and computational

5   resources needed to obtain a solution in this matter also increase as the node count increases.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Therefore, a need exists for a coordinate transformation

10 method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that can transform the equations used to model a physical system from the Cartesian coordinate system to a coordinate system in which the solutions to the transformed equations are linear for a quantity of interest, such as the

15 pressure distribution within a reservoir.

A further need exists for a coordinate transformation method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that can yield at least as accurate solutions as prior art finite element analysis techniques applied in a Cartesian

20 coordinate space while requiring a lesser number of nodes to model a system.

Still further, a need exists for a coordinate transformation method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that can provide greater modeling accuracy and

25 computational efficiency than currently existing finite element techniques applied in a Cartesian coordinate space.

Even further, a need exists for a coordinate transformation method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that can be used together with a non-transformed

30 Cartesian coordinate model to provide accurate solutions

when modeling a physical system near a singularity and in
the regions spreading outward from the singularity.

In accordance with the present invention, a coordinate
transformation method and system for modeling radial flow
5   near a singularity are provided that substantially eliminate
or reduce the disadvantages and problems associated with
currently existing finite element analysis systems and
methods applied in a Cartesian coordinate space. In
particular, the present invention provides a method and
10   system for predicting the behavior of a physical system.
One embodiment of the method of this invention comprises the
steps of creating an equation in a first coordinate system
to model an aspect of the physical system; applying a
coordinate transformation to the equation to transform the
15   equation from the first coordinate system into a second
coordinate system more closely representative of an
analytical solution to the equation; solving the equation in
the second coordinate system to obtain a solution;
transforming the solution back to the first coordinate
20   system; creating a second equation in the first coordinate
system to model a second aspect of the physical system;
solving the second equation in the first coordinate system
to obtain a solution to the second equation; and combining
the mapped solution to the first equation and the solution
25   to the second equation in the first coordinate system to
obtain a combined solution. One embodiment of the system of
this invention comprises a computer-readable medium
containing a plurality of instructions embodying the above
method.

The present invention provides an important technical advantage of a coordinate transformation method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that can be used to transform the equations used to model a physical system

5   from the Cartesian coordinate system to a coordinate system in which the solutions to the transformed equations are linear for a quantity of interest, such as the pressure distribution within a reservoir.

Further, the present invention provides an important

10   technical advantage of a coordinate transformation method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that can yield as accurate solutions as prior art finite element analysis techniques applied in a Cartesian coordinate space while requiring a lesser number of nodes to model a system.

15   Even further, the present invention provides an important technical advantage of a coordinate transformation method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that provides greater modeling accuracy and computational efficiency than currently existing finite element analysis

20   techniques applied in a Cartesian coordinate space.

Still further, the present invention provides an important technical advantage of a coordinate transformation method and system for modeling radial flow near a singularity that can be used together with a non-transformed Cartesian

25   coordinate model to provide accurate solutions near a singularity and in the regions spreading outward from the singularity.

30

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A more complete understanding of the present invention and the advantages thereof may be acquired by referring to the following description, taken in conjunction with the

5   accompanying drawings in which like reference numbers indicate like features and wherein:

FIGURE 1 is an illustration of the rectangular and hexahedral elements that comprise structured finite element meshes in the prior art.

10   FIGURE 2 shows pressure distribution in the vicinity of a producing well, plotted against radial distance from the well;

FIGURE 3 illustrates pressure distribution in the vicinity of a producing well, plotted against the natural logarithm

15   of the distance from the well;

FIGURES 4 and 5 illustrate pressure distribution in the vicinity of a producing well, computed using the embodiments of the radial transformation method of the present invention;

20   FIGURE 6 illustrates pressure distribution in the vicinity of a producing well, plotted as a function of radial distance from the well, computed using (i) analytical solutions, (ii) Cartesian coordinates, and (iii) an embodiment of the radial transformation method of the

25   present invention; and

FIGURE 7 is an enlarged graph of the same solutions shown in
FIGURE 6, showing details of the pressure distribution out
to a distance of 20 feet.

5   DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION
     Preferred embodiments of the present invention are
     illustrated in the FIGUREs, like numerals being used to
     refer to like and corresponding parts of the various
     drawings.

10   The various embodiments of the present invention provide a
     coordinate transformation method and system for modeling
     radial flow near a singularity that is more flexible, more
     computationally efficient, more accurate, and less dependent
     on having a large number of nodes to model the system than
15   prior art finite element analysis methods and systems. In
     particular, the embodiments of the present invention provide
     the capability for taking an equation representing a system
     to be modeled (or some aspect of the system to be modeled)
     in the Cartesian coordinate system and performing a
20   transformation to represent the equation in some other
     coordinate system in which the solution is in a more usable
     form.

     The embodiments of the present invention can be used to
     perform a mapping from one coordinate space to the other to,
25   for example, provide a representation in the new coordinate
     space that yields a linear solution. The solution to an
     equation that in the Cartesian coordinate system is non-
     linear, such as the pressure distribution in an oil field
     reservoir, can thus be placed in a map to a coordinate
30   system in which an accurate predictive model that is linear

can be generated. Because the non-linear solution is mapped
into a coordinate system in which the solution is linear,
accurate solutions can be obtained using a smaller number of
data nodes (solution points at which various parameters must
5   be known to solve the equation(s) modeling a system).

The embodiments of the method and system of the present
invention can use a combination of Cartesian operators and
non-Cartesian operators to generate accurate and usable
solutions for equations representing a physical system.
10 Cartesian operators are used to solve for a solution of the
equations in the regions of the physical system not near a
singularity. Non-Cartesian operators are transformed into a
new coordinate system and solved in the transform space.
The non-Cartesian solutions to the modeling equations are
15 then mapped back into the Cartesian coordinate system.

The physical system being modeled (for example, the region
in the vicinity of a well bore of an oil field reservoir)
can thus be represented more accurately using one set of
operators near a singularity (e.g., the well bore) and a
20 different set of operators in the regions further away from
the well bore. In the regions very near the well bore, for
example, to a radius of about 50 to 100 feet from the well
bore (singularity), non-Cartesian operators can be used with
the radial transformation applied to them. The portions of
25 the physical system extending beyond this distance can be
modeled using the Cartesian operators. The distances away
from a singularity at which the Cartesian and non-Cartesian
operators are used can be set as required for a particular
application.

The combination of Cartesian and non-Cartesian operators yields a good solution throughout the physical system (for example, an oil field reservoir) being modeled and can provide greater accuracy in a critical area of interest,

5   e.g., a singularity such as a well bore. As can be seen in the example of FIGURE 2, the radial pressure near a well bore can change greatly over small distances, but flattens out and can be linearly approximated starting several hundred feet out from the well bore. In the critical area

10   of interest near the well bore, applying a coordinate transformation in accordance with the embodiments of this invention can yield greater accuracy using less nodes and less computational resources than with prior art finite element analysis techniques. In the regions several hundred

15   feet out from the well bore, the relationship between pressure and distance varies much less rapidly and can be more easily approximated using standard techniques. To obtain the same accuracy as possible with the embodiments of the method and system of the present invention, prior art

20   systems required a much greater number of data nodes in the near-well region to provide an accurate model. This is due to the rapidly changing pressure profile over short distances of this near-well region.

Under certain circumstances, the radial coordinate

25   transformation provided by the embodiments of the present invention can provide an exact solution. For example, if an oil field reservoir being modeled is homogeneous, it may only take two nodes to calculate a solution out to any radius. Intermediate nodes are not necessary, and the node

30   count required to obtain an accurate solution is greatly reduced, resulting in greatly increased computational speed

and in a reduction in computational resources required to
obtain a solution.   In such a case, the coordinate
transformation operators can be used to obtain a solution
throughout the oil field reservoir model, without the need
5   for the Cartesian operators in the regions further from the
well bore (singularity).

A lack of resolution in accuracy in the near-well region can
also arise when using Cartesian discretization in the near-
well region.   A very high mesh resolution, and therefore a
10   large node count, is necessary to achieve sufficient
accuracy when compared with a reference analytical solution
when using the Cartesian operators.   The embodiments of the
method and system for coordinate transformation of the
present invention can greatly reduce or eliminate both the
15   lack of resolution in the accuracy in the near-well region
and the oscillations due to irregular time extrusions.

The embodiments of the method and system of this invention
take into account that the flow field around the well in an
oil field reservoir is essentially radial.   The pressure
20   profile is highly non-linear with respect to distance from
the well-bore and is poorly approximated by linear elements.
Radial flow implies that pressure varies linearly against
the log of radial distance.   The embodiments of the present
invention take advantage of this relationship to
25   significantly improve the pressure field interpolation.
This is illustrated in FIGURES 2 and 3, which show a radial
pressure profile versus distance from a well bore in both a
Cartesian coordinate system (FIGURE 2) and using the
transform operators of the embodiments of the present
30   invention (FIGURE 3).

14

A basis for the coordinate transformation method of the
embodiments of the present invention is that steady state
radial flow from a reservoir towards a singularity (e.g., a
well bore) is described by the following relationship:

5

$$Q = \frac{2\pi}{\ln(r/r_w)}(p - p_w) \qquad \text{(EQN. 1)}$$

In Equation 1, "Q" is the flow rate, "p" is the pressure at
a reference radius "r" and the subscript "w" denotes the
10   well bore (singularity). By rearranging Equation 1, the
pressure distribution for a given flow rate "Q" and well
pressure "p_w" is found to be:

$$p = p_w + \frac{Q\ln(r/r_w)}{2\pi}$$

15   (EQN. 2)

The relationship of Equation 2 indicates that a plot of
pressures versus the natural log of "r" will yield a linear
relationship. Equations 1 and 2 assume unit conductivity in
20   the reservoir. FIGURE 2 is a plot of the radial pressure
profile of a reservoir in Cartesian coordinates. As can be
seen in FIGURE 2, pressure falls off rapidly near the well
bore (the first several hundred feet out from the well).
Pressure varies much less rapidly the greater the distance
25   from the well bore and then tends to flatten out. The same
data plotted on a logarithmic horizontal axis yields a
straight line relationship, as shown in FIGURE 3. FIGURE 3
demonstrates that steady state radial flow implies that

pressure varies linearly with the log of radial distance
from a well bore.

Because the pressure profile for an oil reservoir is highly
5   non-linear in Cartesian coordinates in the vicinity of the
well bore (e.g., for distances less than two hundred fifty
feet in the example shown in FIGURE 2), using linear
elements in the finite element analysis may introduce
significant interpolation errors. This is especially true
10 when a coarse mesh, and therefore large linear elements, is
used in Cartesian space. The linear relationship between
the radial pressure distribution and the log of radial
distance is therefore a basis for performing the coordinate
transform. By transforming from the Cartesian (x, y, z)
15 coordinates into a modified form of radial coordinates (s,
θ, z) where:

s = ln(r)   (EQN. 3)

20 The embodiments of the method and system of this invention
can take advantage of the fact that, in the region where
radial flow is predominant, pressure varies linearly with
"s". Therefore, when linear elements are used, and when the
nodal values are exact, there will be no interpolation
25 error.

The following equations and explanation provide more details
on the embodiments of the coordinate transformation method
and system of this invention, as applied to the mass
30 conservation equation for single-phase flow. These
equations can be used to describe radial fluid flow near a

singularity, such as a well bore. The continuity equation
for single-phase flow is a diffusivity equation of the form:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \nabla^2 p = 0 \qquad \text{(EQN. 4)}$$

5

The Laplacian operation ($\nabla^2 p$, in radial coordinate form, is:

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial s^2} = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \vartheta} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial z^2} \qquad \text{(EQN. 5)}$$

10   Applying the transform s=ln(r), the differentials can be
written as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \text{Exp}[-s]\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \qquad \text{(EQN. 6)}$$

The continuity equation can be rearranged as:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \text{Exp}[-2s]\left(\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial s^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \vartheta^2}\right) - \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial z^2} = 0 \qquad \text{(EQN. 7)}$$

5   In applying the transformation to the one-phase black-oil
equation, permeability anisotropy is accounted for by
properly accounting for the cross terms introduced by the
transform. Given a 3x3 permeability tensor, K, it is
transformed to radial coordinate form by the following
10   expression.

$$K_r = RKR^T$$
$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The embodiments of the coordinate transformation to model
15   radial flow near a singularity of this invention can thus be
used to significantly improve the modeling of one-phase
fluid flow.

FIGURES 4 and 5 are graphs illustrating the radial fluid
flow characteristics around a singularity using the
20   coordinate transformation model of the embodiments of the
present invention. The system modeled in this case, as
shown in FIGURE 4, is a one-quarter segment of a well in the
center of a circular reservoir. The reservoir radius is
1,500 feet, and a relatively coarse mesh is used in the
25   vicinity of the well (singularity) in the foreground of
FIGURE 4. The inner radius is equal to .25 feet, the outer

radius is 100 feet, with four intermediate computation nodes between these two radii. Boundary conditions are that the reservoir is initially at 2,000 psi and a constant withdrawal is imposed at the well at all times (from start

5   to finish at ten days).

FIGURE 5 is a graph of well pressure versus time, showing the analytical solution 40, Cartesian operator solution 50, and a transform coordinate solution 60. The plot shown in FIGURE 5 comprises forty time intervals, which can help to

10   improve the overall accuracy of the solution (accuracy can improve with the number of time intervals used). As can be seen in FIGURE 5, accumulated computational errors toward the end of the computed time show up very clearly in the Cartesian operator solution 50, but not in the radial

15   (transform) coordinate solution 60. The transform coordinate solution 60 also tracks much more closely the observed analytical solution 40.

FIGURES 6 and 7 show another example of solutions obtained using embodiments of the coordinate transformation method

20   and system of this invention. The model used to obtain the solutions shown in FIGURES 6 and 7 is a one-quarter segment of a well in the center of a circular reservoir model. The reservoir radius is 1,500 feet, and a relatively coarse mesh is used in the completion model, with an inner radius of .25

25   feet, an outer radius of 100 feet, and having four intermediate computational nodes between these radii. As in the example of FIGURES 4 and 5, the reservoir is initially at 2,000 psi and a constant withdrawal is imposed at the well at all times (0-10 days).

As can be seen in FIGURE 6, for radial distances beyond
approximately 200 feet, the Cartesian operator solution 50,
and the transform coordinate solution 60 track fairly
closely to the analytical solution 40 for the pressure

5   profile over the ten-day period. However, as shown in
FIGURE 7, the near-well (here, out to 25 feet radial
distance from the well bore) data clearly shows that the
transform coordinate solution 60 is much more accurate in
the near-well regions than the Cartesian operator solution

10   50. FIGURE 7 is an expanded graph of the same solutions
shown in FIGURE 6, for the near-well regions of .25 feet out
to approximately 25 feet. In this near-well region, the
transform coordinate solution 60 is a much better
approximation of the observed analytical solution 40.

15   Referring back to FIGURES 2 and 3, it can be seen that if
any two points from FIGURE 2 are taken as one element, a
large number of these points are necessary to create an
approximation of the curve. By contrast, in the coordinate
transformation space shown in FIGURE 3, the solution is

20   linear and intermediate points are not necessary. Any two
points can be used to pick up all variations and give exact
solutions.

The coordinate transformation of various embodiments of the
present invention comprises a mathematical mapping from one

25   coordinate space to another coordinate space and can be
implemented as computer executable software instructions
stored in memory within a computing device. The memory can
be RAM or ROM, or a fixed media such as a hard drive or
magnetic media as known to those in the art. The computing

30   device can be any computer capable of executing the software

instructions, for example, a Windows UNIX or Linux based PC, or a Macintosh. The embodiments of the method and system of this invention can be described as a method for transforming coordinates to produce highly accurate simulations of

5   reservoirs or other physical systems, in particular, near a point of interest such as a singularity (e.g., a well bore).

Embodiments of the method and system of the present invention can be applied beyond the singularity of a well bore. Embodiments of this invention can be used to model

10   and solve for properties of horizontal wells, fractures and/or faults. Embodiments of this invention are contemplated to be used in any situation where there is some potential for introducing a transformation that more closely represents the behavior of an analytical solution. The

15   transformation of the embodiments of the present invention is analogous to a case in solid mechanics for the modeling of heat transfer.

Parameters other than pressure profiles can be modeled using the embodiments of the method and system of this invention.

20   The embodiments of this invention comprise a method for modeling singularities of various types, with applications in many different industries. For example, point loads, temperature distributions, and heat sinks can be modeled using the embodiments of this invention. A reservoir could,

25   for example, instead be imagined to be a metal plate, with a heat source applied, such as a flame or a laser beam, to heat it up. Mathematically, this situation is identical to modeling of a pressure profile in a reservoir. Any type of singularity can thus be modeled using embodiments of the

30   method and system of this invention.

The embodiments of the method and system of this invention
can provide faster, less computationally intense, and more
accurate modeling and solutions than previous methods and
systems for modeling physical systems near a singularity in
5   that, unlike prior art methods and systems, they do not just
apply standard finite element techniques in a Cartesian
coordinate space. Instead, embodiments of the present
invention apply coordinate transformation to the
computational space. Transformation is applied and
10   computation performed, and the results obtained are still in
a Cartesian coordinate space. As far as the user is
concerned, the method of the embodiments of the present
invention is transparent.

The embodiments of the method and system of this invention
15   can be implemented within a user interface such as that
disclosed in related U.S. Patent Application entitled
"SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DEFINING AND DISPLAYING A RESERVOIR
MODEL" (the "Blitzer Application"). With the interface
disclosed in the Blitzer application, for example, an
20   oilfield engineer could specify the model parameters
necessary to calculate the quantity that they are interested
in, and the embodiments of this invention can perform the
calculations in a manner transparent to the oilfield
engineer.

25   The embodiments of the method and system of this invention
can be used to substantially overcome the inaccuracies of
standard finite element analysis techniques when performing
computations using a type of boundary condition representing
the input or withdrawal of a physical quantity (for example,
30   fluid or heat) from a singularity point. The embodiments of

the methods of this invention can comprise a method for performing a coordinate transformation in a computational space for more accurately calculating conditions around a singularity in a physical model, for example, of a reservoir

5   or other physical system.

Various embodiments of the method of this invention can be implemented in software and can be accessed through a user interface, such as the interface of the related Blitzer application, and can perform transformations in a method

10   transparent to a user. These embodiments may include software or formal applications that embody methods such as those described herein, as well as computer readable media containing such applications and computers or other data processing systems that are configured to perform the

15   described methods. Features of various embodiments of the present invention include transparent operation to the user, and the capability of allowing a user to set boundary conditions and variables of parameters that describe a system of interest.

20   An advantage of the embodiments of the method of the present invention is that, unlike in traditional approaches for obtaining a finite element analysis solution near a singularity, fewer nodes are necessary to get the same or better accuracy. Previous methods and systems require

25   specification of a fine spatial mesh around the singularity in order to obtain the same degree of accuracy possible with the embodiments of this invention. The embodiments of this invention thus permit using a coarser mesh without sacrificing accuracy over the prior art methods. Prior art

30   methods, for example, might require 50 nodes to be specified

for the 6" to 20' radial distance out from a singularity to obtain a useful solution. Using the coordinate transformation method of the embodiments of this invention, nodes can instead be specified with much greater radial distance between them, for example, 10' to 20' between nodes (or even greater distances). In fact, some embodiments of the coordinate transformation method of this invention can use nodes spaced 50' apart and still get as accurate a resolution as prior art methods where many more nodes must be specified between 6" and 50' out.

The embodiments of the method and system of this invention work particularly well in the case of an isotropic system in which conductance in all directions is identical. An anisotropic system, where permeability (conductance) in the X and Y direction might be different, results in slightly less accurate solutions. Useable solutions are still possible in an anisotropic or heterogeneous system, but there may be extreme cases where the X direction permeability (conductance) may be, for example, 1,000 times larger than the permeability in the Y direction, in which case the coordinate transformation solution of the embodiments of this invention can introduce a significant error.

Embodiments of the coordinate transformation method and system of this invention provide the ability to transform the equations necessary to solve a system to a form that looks Cartesian. Basically, the coordinate space is transformed such that it is very well represented by the finite element approximation. This is different from prior art techniques where an attempt is made to still use the

Cartesian equations, but to somehow embed a singularity into the finite element space. The embodiments of the method of this invention provide a technique that fixes the singularity (or removes the singularity) before the finite element method is ever applied to it, as opposed to trying to fix the finite element method by changing the way it operates. The underlying finite element analysis still exists on top of the transformation of the embodiments of the method of the present invention.

Although the present invention has been described in detail herein with reference to the illustrative embodiments, it should be understood that the description is by way of example only and is not to be construed in a limiting sense. It is to be further understood, therefore, that numerous changes in the details of the embodiments of this invention and additional embodiments of this invention will be apparent to, and may be made by, persons of ordinary skill in the art having reference to this description. It is contemplated that all such changes and additional embodiments are within the spirit and true scope of this invention as claimed below.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1.   A method for predicting the behavior of a physical system, comprising the steps of:

creating an equation in a first coordinate system to model an aspect of said physical system;

applying a coordinate transformation to said equation for transforming said equation from said first coordinate system into a second coordinate system more closely representative of an analytical solution to said equation;

solving said equation in said second coordinate system to obtain a solution; and

transforming said solution back to said first coordinate system.

2.   The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of generating a mesh to model said physical system.

3.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said first coordinate system is a Cartesian coordinate system.

4.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said second coordinate system is a radial coordinate system.

5.   The method of Claim 4, wherein said radial coordinate system comprises the coordinates (s, θ, z), wherein $s=\ln(r)$.

6.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said second coordinate system is a coordinate system where said solution is a linear solution.

7.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said physical system is a well bore.

8.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said physical system is a reservoir having a singularity.

9.   The method of Claim 8, wherein said singularity is a well bore.

10.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said aspect is a physical property of said system.

11.   The method of Claim 10, wherein said physical property is pressure.

12.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said physical system is a pointload or a heatsink.

13.   The method of Claim 1, wherein said physical system comprises a fracture or fault.

14.   The method of Claim 1, further comprising the steps of: creating a second equation in said first coordinate system to model a second aspect of said physical system; solving said second equation in said first coordinate system to obtain a solution to said second equation; and combining said transformed solution to said first equation and said solution to said second equation in said first coordinate system to obtain a combined solution.

15. The method of Claim 1, wherein said coordinate transformation comprises a mapping from said first coordinate system to said second coordinate system.

5 16. The method of Claim 1, wherein said aspect is radial fluid flow near a singularity.

17. The method of Claim 16, wherein said singularity is a well bore in a reservoir.

10

18. The method of Claim 1, wherein said coordinate transformation is performed via computer executable software instructions.

15 19. The method of Claim 1, wherein said method is implemented using a graphical user interface.

20. A computer-readable medium containing a plurality of instructions embodying a method for predicting the behavior 20 of a physical system, said method comprising the steps of: creating an equation in a first coordinate system to model an aspect of said physical system; applying a coordinate transformation to said equation for transforming said equation from said first coordinate system 25 into a second coordinate system more closely representative of an analytical solution to said equation; solving said equation in said second coordinate system to obtain a solution; and transforming said solution back to said first coordinate 30 system.

21.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, said method further comprising the step of generating a mesh to model said physical system.

5   22.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said first coordinate system is a Cartesian coordinate system.

23.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said second coordinate system is a radial coordinate system.

10

24.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 23, wherein said radial coordinate system comprises the coordinates (s, θ, z), wherein s=ln(r).

15   25.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said second coordinate system is a coordinate system where said solution is a linear solution.

26.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said

20   physical system is a well bore.

27.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said physical system is a reservoir having a singularity.

25   28.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 27, wherein said singularity is a well bore.

29.   The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said aspect is a physical property of said system.

30

30. The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said physical property is pressure.

31. The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said

5   physical system is a pointload or a heatsink.

32. The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said physical system comprises a fracture or fault.

10   33. The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said method further comprises the steps of:
creating a second equation in said first coordinate system to model a second aspect of said physical system;
solving said second equation in said first coordinate system

15   to obtain a solution to said second equation; and
combining said transformed solution to said first equation and said solution to said second equation in said first coordinate system to obtain a combined solution.

20   34. The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said coordinate transformation comprises a mapping from said first coordinate system to said second coordinate system.

25   35. The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said aspect is radial fluid flow near a singularity.

36. The computer-readable medium of Claim 35, wherein said singularity is a well bore in a reservoir.

30   37. The computer-readable medium of Claim 20, wherein said method is implemented using a graphical user interface.

38. A method for predicting the behavior of a physical
system, comprising the steps of:
creating an equation in a first coordinate system to model
an aspect of said physical system;

5   mapping said equation from said first coordinate system into
a second coordinate system more closely representative of an
analytical solution to said equation;
solving said equation in said second coordinate system to
obtain a solution;

10   mapping said solution back to said first coordinate system;
creating a second equation in said first coordinate system
to model a second aspect of said physical system;
solving said second equation in said first coordinate system
to obtain a solution to said second equation; and

15   combining said mapped solution to said first equation and
said solution to said second equation in said first
coordinate system to obtain a combined solution.

39.   The method of Claim 38, further comprising the step of
20   generating a mesh to model said physical system.

40.   The method of Claim 38, wherein said first coordinate
system is a Cartesian coordinate system.

25   41.   The method of Claim 38, wherein said second coordinate
system is a radial coordinate system.

42.   The method of Claim 41, wherein said radial coordinate
system comprises the coordinates (s, θ, z), wherein $s=\ln(r)$.

30

43. The method of Claim 38, wherein said second coordinate system is a coordinate system where said solution is a linear solution.

5   44. The method of Claim 38, wherein said physical system is a well bore.

45. The method of Claim 38, wherein said physical system is a reservoir having a singularity.

10

46. The method of Claim 45, wherein said singularity is a well bore.

Fig. 1

Radial Pressure Profile

Analytical@10day

FIG. 3

Radial Pressure Profile

Analytical@10day

FIG. 4

FIG. 4

Well Pressure vs. Time
40 Time Intervals

FIG 5.

FIG. 6

FIG 7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7   G01V11/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7   G01V

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC, COMPENDEX

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category°	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	ÖZDOGAN YILMAZ: "Seismic Data Processing" 1991 , SOCIETY OF EXPLORATION GEOPHYSICS , P.O. BOX 702740 TULSA, OK 74170-2740 XP002189158 page 514, paragraph 2 -page 515, paragraph 1	1,20
A	US 6 078 869 A (GUNASEKERA DAYAL L) 20 June 2000 (2000-06-20) column 25, line 5 - line 65 column 30, line 8 - line 28	1,2,20, 21,38,39
A	US 3 302 710 A (ODEH AZIZ S) 7 February 1967 (1967-02-07) column 4, line 5 - line 20 column 5, line 65 -column 6, line 70	1,20,38

-/--

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

[X] Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
25 February 2002	11/03/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Lorne, B

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

1

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication,where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	NORRIS S O ET AL: "Modeling fluid flow around horizontal wellbores" PROCEEDINGS: SPE ANNUAL TECHNICAL CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION 1990 PART 4 (OF 5);NEW ORLEANS, LA, USA SEP 23-26 1990, vol. SIGMA, 1990, pages 65-75, XP008000375 Proc SPE Annu Tech Conf Exhib;Proceedings - SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition; Reservoir Engineering 1990 Publ by Soc of Petroleum Engineers of AIME, Richardson, TX, USA the whole document	1,20,38

1

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 6078869	A	20-06-2000	US 6018497 A		25-01-2000
			CA 2228544 A1		27-08-1998
			FR 2760848 A1		18-09-1998
			GB 2322702 A ,B		02-09-1998
			NO 980821 A		28-08-1998
US 3302710	A	07-02-1967	NONE		